

Item	Presenter	Desired Outcome	Summary/Action
Welcome and Introductions	<b>Gregg Albright</b> , <i>Deputy Director Planning and Modal Programs</i>	Define why we're here, and meet one another	<u>Summary:</u> We need to do something that's both radical and rational--the Governor likes big, bold visions (notes follow table), and remember that we must complete this in 18 months.
Ground Rules	<b>Mary Madison</b> , <i>UCD Facilitator</i>	Ground rules adopted for PAC meetings	<u>Summary:</u> PAC adopted ground rules.
Overview of CTP 2025 and 2030 Update	<b>Ann Mahaney</b> , <i>Office of State Planning</i>	General understanding of existing CTP goals and policies	<u>Summary:</u> PAC members appreciated the <u>matrix summary</u> of the CTP 2025 and CTP 2030; looking forward to the matrix review of the Public Participation Plan. Questions on <u>indicators</u> to show that CTP policies are being implemented and to inform decision-making—Caltrans has Smart Mobility Framework and indicators in the Regional Progress Report. <input type="checkbox"/> <u>Action:</u> Prepare matrix summary of the PPP for the CTP/FSTIP. <input type="checkbox"/> <u>Action:</u> Caltrans will bring presentation on Smart Mobility Framework to the next meeting, share the SMF preliminary report when completed and provide a link to the Regional Progress Report <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>Action:</u> Caltrans will send PAC copies of the <u>two graphics</u> of CTP relationship to regional/local decision-making—the " <u>Regional/State Transp. Planning &amp; Programming</u> " graphic and PPP process graphic on " <u>Building Transportation Projects</u> "
Roles and Responsibilities	<b>Nathan Smith</b> , <i>Chief Office of State Planning</i>	Define PAC, CT staff and UCD roles and responsibilities	<u>Summary:</u> PAC to represent your agencies' position but also those of your stakeholders and those of the public at large. Members requested document on PAC Roles and Responsibilities. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>Action:</u> Caltrans will draft <u>Guidelines defining PAC Roles and Responsibilities</u>
Timeline	<b>Del Deletetsky</b> , <i>Office of State Planning</i>	Understanding of project due dates and tasks	<u>Summary:</u> Question on CTP outreach to education/academia and seniors; outreach. <input type="checkbox"/> <u>Action:</u> Caltrans to work with Jackie Duerr (DPH). for suggested contacts from those representing the aging; request District staff work with schools and Area Agencies on Aging within their areas <input type="checkbox"/> <u>Action:</u> Caltrans prepare CTP packets for Districts (brochures, speaking points, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> <u>Action:</u> Caltrans will bring presentation on proposed external outreach strategies to the next meeting in January 2009—includes strategies for communities of the aging. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>Action:</u> Caltrans will add CTP anticipated approval by BTH to timeline <input type="checkbox"/> <u>Action:</u> Caltrans to consider 12/1 CalCOG meeting and CA APA Annual Conference September 2009.

Plan Development Details • Proposed Scope	<i>Pam Korte, Chief, State Plans Branch</i>	Discussion on Proposed scope of CTP 2035	<p><u>Summary:</u> Framework of the 3Es and seven goals is still valid, may adjust some of the policies and add or delete strategies; integrate CTP 2025/2030/bicycling and walking; consider demand management; and integrate mobility pyramid concepts.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <u>Action:</u> PAC to submit comments on the draft CTP 2035 Scope (extended to 11-4-08 at US EPA request)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <u>Action:</u> Post revised scope on the CTP 2035 web portal for public input</p>
Futures Symposium Recap	<i>Mary Madison, UCD Facilitator</i>	Summary of what we heard	<p><u>Summary:</u> Presented the results of the Symposium panels and the World Café effort. Caltrans has a leadership role, but cannot assume alone--all agencies need to assume a shared leadership role</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <u>Action:</u> DWR to provide list of State Companion Plans developed for the CA Water Plan, link to CWP, and draft of chapter on companion plans when available</p>
"Bucket" Strategy	<i>PAC Member Participation</i>	PAC input on how to address issues/ideas raised	<p><u>Summary:</u> Presentation on how comments will be addressed, along with a description of the CTP as an umbrella document with threads (other documents and efforts) hanging from itP (i.e., Goods Movement, Economic Development, SB 375, etc.); PAC ideas for additional themes/issues to address in the 2035 plan</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <u>Action:</u> Caltrans will link LAMTA Sustainability Plan and CWP 2009 to web portal</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>Action:</u> Caltrans will prepare CTP bucket lists based on input to date, including PAC input from meeting and from supplemental information/evaluation forms (see attached CTP Bucket List 2035)</p>
Web Portal	<i>Laurie Waters, Office of State Planning</i>	□	<p><u>Summary:</u> PAC input on web portal welcomed, along with ideas for links</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <u>Action:</u> Caltrans will link LAMTA Sustainability Plan and CWP 2009 to portal</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <u>Action:</u> PAC members to sign-up for web portal accounts</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <u>Action:</u> Caltrans to post all PAC materials to portal and send reminders prior to due dates</p>
Next Steps	<i>Mary Madison, UCD Facilitator</i>		<p>PAC meeting schedule, locations, and PAC 2 meeting agenda items</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <u>Action:</u> Schedule meeting, consider Jan. 27-29 target dates</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <u>Action:</u> Caltrans to send reminder - sign up for web portal account at <a href="http://www.californiatransportationplan2035.org/">http://www.californiatransportationplan2035.org/</a></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <u>Action:</u> Follow-up email to PAC with action items -</p>

#### Notes

#### Gregg Albright – Introduction

- The PAC Should significantly influence the CTP
- We're standing at a threshold- lots of significant changes
- Unique to any generation
- Climate change more significant change than CEQA and NEPA

## PAC Meeting 1-- ACTION ITEMS

Contact: Ann Mahaney (916) 653-9525

- The CTP is supposed to be fully integrated and multi-modal. We should be thinking really hard at fundamental changes
- We can't use the old models of the past – we need a cultural change
- Still dealing with need for mobility – but not the 1960-1990 model of adding capacity
- We need to establish long-range plans – we need to do something radical and rational to move in the right direction.
- The Governor likes big, bold vision
- Need to shock ourselves
- We need plans that are radical and rational, we can't just tweak around the edges
- Off the wall concepts will be marginalized
- We can choose to be complacent or really push it--We want to get the CTP approved in this current administration
- Please be bold and rational

### Mary Madison – Ground rules

- Please fill out the supplemental evaluation/information form
- Give feedback on proposed ground rules on the form
- Everyone agrees on the ground rules
- Mary goes over the agenda
- Supplemental info sheet has place for people to put good times for meetings

### Ann Mahaney – Overview of CTP 2025 and 2030

- There are summaries of these documents in the packets
- The CTP 2025 was updated in 2006 and the CTP 2030 in October 2007

#### CTP 2025

- Vision adopted by the Strategic Growth Plan
- Vision developed in the CTP 2025 plan
  - People's Plan
  - Lots of workshops and other outreach
- Vision
  - Sustainability – don't compromise the needs of the present while still planning for the future
- Six Goals identified in the CTP 2025
- The CTP 2035 update will have at least 7 goals split Safety and Security
- Strategies developed collectively with stakeholders and the public

#### CTP 2030 Addendum

- Items covered in the Addendum were to comply with the Planning requirements for a State plan
- Broadened policies and strategies of 2025
- Intended to meet new requirements of SAFETEA – LU

- Identified 5 key opportunities

#### Questions

- Luree likes the layout of the summary
- Are you evaluating how entities are using the plan down to a project level?
- Pam mentions that the Smart Mobility Framework will help evaluate how the policies are being implemented
- Joan discusses the Smart Mobility Framework and how it will help us evaluate policy – it's a Statewide program, could be used by partners as well. It will be complementary to the State Plan. We can share the preliminary report once it gets done. By State law the CTP can't be a project plan. This plan guides the investments of the State.
- The Water Plan is the same – if you include project you trigger CEQA
- Jacquolyn wonders if we are tracking changes in circumstances that can be a measure of outcomes.
- Gregg says this is an excellent point because we need to understand what's causing resistance to change. Will the CTP help to induce change?
- Joan and Nathan explain that it's a 20-year plan. Joan talks about the BTH ad hoc committee that is looking at the various plans to see what's being implemented from the plans. The outcome from this group will inform the Secretary's plans for the next few years. But not all of the actions get fully implemented due to various obstacles
- Stakeholder mail list should include Fed to local level

#### **Nathan Smith—Roles and Responsibilities**

- Thanks everyone
- The role of the PAC
- Does the current plan make sense. What needs to be added?
- Want to bring in bicycling and walking
- Give us guidance on workshops and focus groups. What questions should we ask, locations etc.
- Represent your agencies position but also your personal ideas and the public at large

#### **Del Deletetsky – timeline**

- Yellow web portal, blue symposium and PAC, green outreach for plan, pink is outcomes
- UC Davis and MIG consultants
- Schedule is very tight only 18 months or less for near final Draft
- Update plan is based on 2025 and 2030 plans
- Joan mentions the PPP – this plan documents how the public wants to be engaged. The PPP helps inform the Plan
- Four blue circles are most important for the PAC

#### Question:

- Kamyar asks if the role of the PAC written down anywhere?
- Nathan says that can be provided in the form of summary document on the Roles and Responsibilities of the PAC
- Water plans has a charter
- The PAC can provide feedback on the roles

- Luree asks if the plan will go out to other agencies – Pam says that we have a stakeholder list and the plan will go out to everyone
- Joan says in the 2025 plan there was a whole day with other State agencies
- Gregg comments that if this committee comes up with something like that than we'll think about adding an event like that
- Nathan says were going out to existing forums
- Ken suggests going to Academic community, youth, seniors
- Pam mentions that we're going to be going to the districts and ask District CTP staff to present to those groups (teleconference with District staffs scheduled November 5)
- Ken would like packets to bring to venues
- CALCOG meeting (Dec 1) would be a good place to attend – State how CTP connects and why locals should care. Locals are looking solely at projects not long range planning
- Bruce – need to have something that ties the CTP to the RTPA and local efforts
- Joan talks about the diagram that shows the iterative process
- Tanisha talks about the schedule. They will miss getting CTP stuff into their RTP
- Identify update cycle in the RTP Analyses
- Joan says we can't hit everyone on their schedule. Use Drafts
- Del mentions the process graphic on pg. 5 of the PPP
- Jacquolyn wonders how we will get feed back from the educational community and the seniors – do we have all the right people on the PAC?
- Planning team will look into connecting better into academic community (community, private, public colleges/universities) also Dept of Educaiton
- Bruce asks if there are interim products (two process graphics will be distributed)
- Nathan mentions the brochure will be available soon
- Bruce would like something more definitive than just a brochure. Nathan says we can more fully talk that through. Nathan says we did extensive outreach before. The basis of the plan will probably stay the same.
- Some opportunities at existing events, meetings, but not time for a stand-alone event
- Luree asks when it goes to the Secretary and suggests putting this on the bubble schedule
- Nathan mentions the Strategic growth council we will try to incorporate outcomes from this effort

### **Pam Korte (OSP) – Proposed Scope and Focus**

- We're not starting from scratch – using 2025 and 2030 as a basis
- Symposium already got us started
- 3Es are still a major theme. They are all linked. The group at the Symposium said that the 3Es are all linked so you have to look at them all together.
- William McDonough
- We are going to integrate the Addendum into the Framework. We may change the policies through this

- We're going to integrate the bicycling and the walking
- Also integrating some of the focuses of the current Administration.
- Pam asks if there's any comments at this point
  - Timothy Papandreou. Likes the direction that we're going. He wants to share what his Agency is doing. His Agency broke down the Environment to two different areas. "Demand management" on the one side and "energy and resource conservation" on the other. Roadway design that accommodates bike, walking etc. on a policy side. Would like the CTP to include the demand management at the 30,000-foot policy level, and look at best practices that the regional agencies can adopt. Energy conservation and efficiency and Demand Management. It would make his board very happy.
  - Gregg asks him if his board is dealing with ride (is this road pricing or congestion pricing, or the same as ride pricing??) pricing, parking, etc. Working on pilot program to price HOV lanes. Looking at transit and other multi-modal improvements. How do we optimize these corridors? They are overused by single-occupancy. Looking at congestion pricing (fast-lane choices). Working with the city of LA to price parking and getting through the city. Gregg comments that can we talk about these things in the CTP. How much farther can we go to push change.
  - Timothy Papandreou. They prefer the term "fast lanes" over the term "congestion pricing."
  - Joan mentions the pyramid from Go California addresses many of these issues, and that everything should be built like the pyramid. Bruce comments that the staffing focuses on the top of the pyramid, and we are resourced as an inverted pyramid. It's a huge paradigm shift to reverse it.
  - Luree says that this was what the energy community did in the '70s.
  - Julia comments on the goal to preserve the transportation system. She thinks this is in direct conflict with some of the other goals. Joan says its more about taking care of the current system. Gregg says we need to be selective in our words. Joan give example of adding shoulders to routes and also bike lanes. Julia says that so much of the resources go to preserving the system that we can't meet the other goals. Gregg says that this is the rational part of the answer. Gregg likes the idea of reviewing some of these goals and changing appropriate words to get across this idea.
  - Luree says that mobility should be about moving people not moving cars
  - Pam says they have a week to get back to us for additions to the scope.
  - Water plan decided to look to 2050. Joan has says that we've considered a longer planning horizons. Joan says we need to work through that as we go along. Joan says we may get guidance on sea level rise within the next month. Nathan says that we picked 2035 because it lines up with the RTPs, some things may need a longer horizon such as climate change.

### **Mary Madison – Futures Symposium Recap**

- I'm not taking notes here because all of this is in the handout, I'll just get down questions if there are any.
- Gregg says that Caltrans doesn't need to take a leadership role – but we do. Leadership is a collaborative effort, we'll be a good partner.
- Julia understands this sensitivity, there are a lot of boxes to check. All state agencies need to take a leadership role. Kamyar appreciates this because the Water plan previously was not done with much collaboration. It's not DWRs water Plan it's the State's water plan same thing for the CTP. We need an integrated management approach.

### **Pam Korte – Bucket Strategy**

- Pam explains the idea behind the bucket strategy.
- Gregg likes the idea of how do we know if we're getting actions out of the plan
- Jacquolyn would like to know what near term issues there are
- Timothy says we need a basic set of indicators that we can grow over time that we can all agree on over time. These help us show that the CTP's policies are being adopted. He also agrees that we should consider extending the time horizon to 2050. Long-term focus with back-casting. Are we measuring what we need to measure
- Joan comments that she's talking about the measures of sustainability for the regional blueprints. California Regional Progress Report can bring this in. This report focused on measures. We can look at best practices
- Nathan mentions that the CTP is an umbrella document and other documents are tied to it. The CTP can't do it all.
- Gregg says that the CTP can identify what these things are
- Bruce comments that we may need to stop doing some things. Are there some things that we need to stop doing. Does that fit into a bucket?
- Kamyar (water guy) says that performance measures are thought of post implementation but these performance measures should actually help lay the framework for plans. It forces you to be more explicit in what can be done.

### **Symposium nuggets**

- These nuggets very much mirror the five opportunity areas identified in the CTP 2030.

### **Buckets – anything you want to put in buckets right now?**

- Michael Woodman – transportation funding system is unreliable, need to identify shortfalls in Caltrans SHOPP and local streets and roads. Also address the costs of not funding infrastructure and also loss of funding sources. Life after Prop 1B.
  - This should be in CTP 2035
- Julia comments on State plans and integration. How do we write a plan that show how people *outside the silo* can see how they fit into. How do other plans and agencies integrate their own plans into this plan.
- Ken Baxter says that we can address the existing blueprints what are the opportunities in the blueprints. Some places have it together on this.
- Gregg says SB 375 and SB 732 (establishing regional greenhouse gas reduction targets and the Strategic Growth Council grants to support sustainable communities' strategies) point out the strengths of Regional Blueprint Planning. Places that have embraced blueprint are way ahead. The CTP should highlight Regional Blueprint Planning.
- Joan comments that we should identify multiple objectives that we achieve by strategies in the plan. So we can show the synergy (the William McDonough pyramid and fractals is useful tool)
- Julia says that a lot of local governments are doing thing to lower GHG when that wasn't the original intent. We should be *shameless Blueprint promoters*. Bring out blueprint as much as possible
- Sharon mentions joint priorities

- Kamyar says in the water plan they have a chapter called companion state plans that talks about how these plans support or undermine each other. Regionalism is front and center in their plan. State agencies need to leverage the power of all the state planning efforts (as they do in the *California Five-Year Infrastructure Plans*). Because of the history of Caltrans regional planning efforts so the water plan can benefit by learning Caltrans best practices.
- Del says that a lot of people are talking about branding that will put regional blueprints in the forefront.
- Jacquolyn says that every action should get co-benefits. Have a matrix of strategies and all their benefits.
- Joan doesn't want to lose the idea of the life-cycle costs discussion. Life-cycle cost of every asset can only provide more support for sustainability and support for the 3Es (this was also identified as an issue by a Caltrans Steering Committee in 2007).

### **Laurie Waters – Web Portal**

- Result of the PPP Public wanted to stay informed via web
- Will keep it up to date. Keep it as interactive as possible. Sign up for an account. Many have done that
- Polls and can submit comments
- Using web portal to communicate with stakeholders -
- If you sign up for an account you will receive an email
- Email is sent and is clear. There is a PAC page
- Put feedback on email preferences on the comments form.
- Like to have feedback on web page layout. Ideas for links.

### **Mary Madison – Next Steps**

- PAC meetings – suggested date
- Not Mon or Fri – mornings
- Will send out
- January 22 – 24 New Partners for Smart Growth
- Jan 26 - Regional Caltrans Coordination Group
- Last week of Jan. 27-29 Target dates.
- Possible an interactive Web-ex
- I hope you can say I influenced transportation in CA