

Question	Comments
Comments came from 10 evaluations; Not all questions were answered	
I have accessed the Caltrans website for the CA Transportation Plan	Yes (8) No (2)
The information I thought was most valuable at today's meeting was	*Collaboration on planning for next steps (pro-interim June mtg) * Description of PPP and focus groups/workshops plan *Provision of initial strategies for the CTP 2035; *Homework assignment focus, reference material; Tough choice: *PAC charter/charge *Process/Product graphic * Discussion on next steps; Public participation plan; PPP & SMF; Public involvement & proposal strategies; Strategies session-discussion & SMF; SMF developments;
I would be willing to provide expertise on the CTP 2035 in the following area(s))	*Goods movement * Air quality * H2O quality *Climate change *Cross-media issues *Public Health *Environment; Environmental issues *Planning, endangered species, resource agency coordination; state agency coordination /companion state plans *state-Regional nexus *water-land use-transportation nexus; Land use planning, SB375 & 732, general plans, my office will provide expertise on CEQA; Climate change, environmental protection issues; Performance measures; Federal programming; Environment, natural resources, conservation, smart growth integration of planning, climate change strategies connection to SAFETEA-LU reauthorization, transportation financing; Public health-livability-community health and wellness; Natural resource data, making more useable & measureable MPO's/RTPA's/Caltrans use of CTP
What were your expectations of this meeting?	*Start developing the "meat" of the CTP building off of the scope *ID key emerging issues to include in CTP 2035 and incorporate into upcoming outreach; Update & plan for moving forward, Outreach & engagement; The agenda & outcome met my expectations, Caltrans/Consultant pre-work very effective & helpful; Better understand statewide process to inform the San Diego region RTP update; Provide input on key deliverables, strategies; Updates from staff
Did this meeting deliver what you expected	5 or Completely satisfied = 3; 4 or almost completely satisfied = 6; one at 3.5
Prior to the next meeting, I am most interested in accomplishing	Discussing/reviewing existing and proposed strategies for CTP 2035, off-line discussions w/resource agencies (Amy Pettler, etc); Review & comment on strategies/goals/policies, coordinate w/Caltrans on CTP & Water Plan; Understanding the interconnection between the plan & other state activities; Evaluation of strategies & input from other agencies that weren't present (DFG, USFWS, etc); Learning about how all various transportation planning activities fit together under umbrella of CTP; Becoming more familiar with previous CTP's and background documents; Defining strategies; Developing strategies & solution opportunities; completing homework, send mailing lists to Del; IDing strategies
What do you think would improve the PAC meeting series?	We addressed it-adding a meeting in June & coordinating w/ 6 focus groups; Understanding of any sideboards or limitations on what we can include...for example, what won't fly w/MPO's or in implementation w/regard to funding or agency authority; Less focus on PAC process, more focus on Plan specifics; more time for discussion, brainstorming; Meetings productive, good structure and facilitation, continue to be concerned that agriculture-Dept of Food & Ag is not represented. This sector accounts for a huge share of the VMT's;
Were the meeting room facilities satisfactory?	Yes (8) No answer (1) OK (1)
Additional comments	Can you have a food alternative that is less heavy? Regarding community outreach, mini-grants to community based organizations is a successful strategy implemented in the San Diego region;